PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 904290	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below				
International application No. PCT/JP2004/014462	International filing date (day/month/year) 24 September 2004 (24.09.2004) Priority date (day/month/year) 30 September 2003 (30.09.200					
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237						
Applicant TOYOTA JIDOSHA KABUSHIKI K	AISHA					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).					
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
		ence to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the report				
	Вох №. П	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited				
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application				
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application				
4.	The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).					
		Date of issuance of this report 03 April 2006 (03.04.2006)				

Authorized officer

Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 10

Masashi Honda

Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D.	04	FEB	2005
l			

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

see form PCT/ISA/220

PCT

To:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

see form PCT/ISA/220

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/014462

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

24.09.2004

30.09.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B60G3/20, B60G7/00, B60G13/16, B60K7/00, F16F7/104, F16F15/04

Applicant

TOYOTA JIDOSHA KABUSHIKI KAISHA

This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1.

Box No. I

Basis of the opinion

Box No. Ⅱ

Priority

☐ Box No. III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

☐ Box No. IV

☑ Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Certain documents cited

Box No. VI ☐ Box No. VII

Certain defects in the international application

☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the International application

FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Tsitsilonis, L

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2879

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/014462

_	В	x No. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	Wi ne	th regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and cessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	а.	ype of material:				
		□ a sequence listing				
		□ table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:					
		□ in written format				
		□ in computer readable form				
c. time of filing/furnishing:						
		\square contained in the international application as filed.				
		illed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4.	Add	litional comments:				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/014462

_							
_	Box	x No. II	Priority				
1.	. The following document has not been furnished:						
		Ø	copy of the earlier	applicatio	n whose p	riority has bee	en claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).
		\boxtimes	translation of the	earlier app	lication wh	ose priority ha	s been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b)).
		Consec neverth	quently it has not b neless been establi	een possik shed on th	ole to cons le assump	ider the validit tion that the re	y of the priority claim. This opinion has elevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.		has bee	oinion has been est en found invalid (R ate indicated above	ules 43 <i>bis</i>	.1 and 64.	Thus for the	claimed due to the fact that the priority claim e purposes of this opinion, the international ate.
3.		was no	t available to the IS	SA at the ti	me that the	e search was ເ	claim because a copy of the priority document conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has elevant date is the claimed priority date.
4:	Add	litional o	bservations, if nec	essary:			
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or						
_	indu	ustrial a	pplicability; citati	ons and e	xplanatio	ns supporting	g such statement
1.	Stat	ement					
	Nov	elty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-24	
	Inve	entive ste	ep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-24	
	Indu	istrial ap	pplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-24	
2.	Citat	tions and	d explanations				
	see	separat	te sheet				
	Вох	No. VI	Certain docume	nts cited			
1.	Cert	ain publ	ished documents (Rules 43b	is.1 and 70	0.10)	
	and.	/or					

see form 210

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: EP 0 344 923 A1 (BOSE CORPORATION) 6 December 1989 (1989-12-06)
D2: DE 100 34 603 A (DAIMLER CHRYSLER AG) 24 January 2002 (2002-01-24)

2 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses (see abstract, figures; the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A wheel supporting apparatus comprising:

an elastic member (42) attached to a load member (21) provided in a wheel (see figure 2) of a wheel unit and placed to allow vibrations of the said wheel and vibrations of the said load member to dampen each other;

a suspension arm (14) having one end connected to the **wheel support (22)** and the other end fixed to the vehicle body (37) pivotally in a top-bottom (vertical) direction of the said vehicle body.

From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs in that:

- the suspension arm has one end connected to the elastic member
- a rotatably supporting member is connected to the suspension arm and the elastic member to rotatably support the wheel of the vehicle.
- 2.1 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT)
 The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as:
 improving the performance characteristics of a steerable wheel suspension by
 supporting the load member (dynamic mass) on the suspension arm rather than the
 wheel support, thus **avoiding** the deterioration of the steering characteristics due to the
 increased mass of the wheel support, while **allowing** the movement of the load
 member to be influenced mainly by the vertical movement of the arm.
- 2.2 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons: Document D2 discloses (see figures) a lateral arm (4) of a wheel suspension where the dynamic damper mass (12) is supported by the said arm (4).

The combination of the features of independent claim 1 is neither known, nor rendered

obvious by, the avilable prior art, and as such the subject matter of claim 1 meets the criteria of inventive step, as set out in Article 33(3) PCT.

2.3 Claims 2-24 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step (Article 33(2) (3) PCT).

Re Item VI Certain documents cited

Certain published documents

Application No Patent No	Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)
EP02717154 EP1380459	24/01/2004	15/04/2002	16/04/2001
JP2002255115 JP2004090793	25/03/2004	30/08/2002	